



refractory to antiarrhythmic drugs. Patients were randomized to undergo empirical electrical isolation of the LAA plus standard ablation or standard ablation alone. The primary end point was recurrence of AF/AT lasting >30 seconds. The secondary end points were postablation heart failure or AF-related hospitalization, stroke, and mortality.

At baseline, the mean age was 64 years, 68% of patients had hypertension, and 20% had diabetes. The CHADS₂ score was 0 in 23.1% of patients, 1 in 35.3% of patients, and ≥2 in 41.7% of patients. The mean radiofrequency time was 93.1 and 77.4 minutes ($P < .001$) for the LAA and standard-of-care (SOC) arms, respectively. In the LAA arm, the LAA could not be isolated in 11 patients due to technical difficulty, and ablation was performed with only partial isolation. In the SOC arm, 9% of patients demonstrated sustained arrhythmia from the LAA and these patients were treated with LAA isolation.

Patients who underwent LAA isolation experienced a significantly greater single-procedure success rate (56%) compared with patients who underwent standard ablation (28%; HR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.3 to 2.9; log-rank $P = .001$) at 12 months. The cumulative overall success was 76% in the LAA arm compared with 56% in the SOC arm (HR, 2.24; 95% CI, 1.3 to 3.8; log-rank $P = .003$).

At 6 months, all patients who received LAA isolation underwent transesophageal echocardiography (TEE). In 48 patients, low peak flow velocity defined as <0.4 m/s was detected, as well as 1 patient with a LAA thrombus and 1 patient with LAA smoke. Function was preserved in 48% of patients. There were no differences in AF and heart failure-related hospitalization in the LAA isolation arm compared with the SOC arm. There were no treatment-related deaths, and 4.5% of patients in the SOC arm experienced a stroke. There were no strokes or transient ischemic attacks in the LAA arm. Periprocedural complications included pericardial effusion (1 patient in each arm) and gastrointestinal bleeding (1 in the SOC arm).

Dr Di Biase concluded that the results of the BELIEF trial suggest that empirical electrical isolation of the LAA in patients with LSP AF improved freedom from AF/AT without increasing complications associated with treatment.

EAST-AF: Short-term AAD Therapy After Ablation Fails to Improve Long-term Outcomes

Written by Emma Hitt Nichols, PhD

Short-term treatment with an antiarrhythmic drug (AAD) in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) who underwent catheter ablation reduced the recurrence of AF or atrial

tachycardia (AT) at 90 days, but did not improve outcomes at 1 year. Kazuaki Kaitani, MD, Tenri Hospital, Nara, Japan, presented data from the EAST-AF trial [Kaitani K et al. *Eur Heart J*. 2015. In press; NCT01477983].

During the first several months following catheter ablation for the treatment of AF, transient AF or AT may occur, likely as a result of irritability associated with ablation. The purpose of the EAST-AF trial was to evaluate the role of short-term AAD use immediately following ablation for AF in improving long-term outcomes.

In the EAST-AF trial, 2044 patients were randomly assigned to receive AAD or be in the control group for 90 days. The primary end point was recurrent tachyarrhythmias at 1 year. The secondary end points included recurrent AT within the first 90 days, and adverse events. Recurrent AT was defined as an AF, atrial flutter, or AT event that lasted >30 seconds; need for repeat ablation; hospital admission; or need for a Vaughan Williams class I or III AAD.

At baseline, the mean age was 65.9 and 60.7 years in the AAD and control arms, respectively, and the duration of AF ranged from 24.7 to 26.1 months. Paroxysmal AF was present in 68.1% and 66.9% of patients, whereas persistent AF was present in 22%. The CHADS₂ score was ≤1 in 70.0% and 77.6% of patients, 2 in 18.9% and 15%, and ≥3 in 11.1% and 7.4%. The mean left ventricular ejection fraction was 64%.

At 1 year, 69.5% and 67.8% of patients in the AAD and control arms, respectively, were free from AF or AT (HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.09; $P = .38$). In addition, there was no significant difference in the primary end point among multiple prespecified subgroups, including age, sex, type of AF, left atrial dimension, and number of previously ineffective AADs. However, freedom from AF or AT was significantly greater in the AAD arm compared with the control arm at 90 days (59.0% vs 52.1%; log-rank $P = .001$).

The rates of all-cause mortality, ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, myocardial infarction, and heart failure hospitalization were <0.5% in both groups. Furthermore, there were no instances of cardiovascular death or systemic embolism in the AAD group (vs 0.1% in the control group). About 12% of patients in each group required cardioversion. Side effects related to the AAD occurred in 4.1% of patients and included bradycardia in 1.3% of patients.

Dr Kaitani acknowledged that this study was limited by lack of continuous electrocardiography monitoring and the differences in recommendations between Western AF management guidelines and the EAST-AF trial use of AADs.

Dr Kaitani concluded that, based on the data from the EAST-AF trial, short-term use of AADs in patients with AF who have undergone ablation did not result in improved outcomes at 1 year.